

Your opinion on tram tracks											
Submission Details											
Location of scary moments											
Serial	As a cyclist, what do you think of the existing tram system?	Armagh Street	New Regent Street or Cathedral Junction	Worcester Street or in the Square	Rollestone Avenue	Comment on scary locations	Extending the tram network	Comment on extension	Tram as transport	Comment on transport	Any other feedback?
1	2	Yes	No	No	No	Every time the tram tracks deviate from straight presents a hazard for cyclists.	No	Apart from the cycling concerns, I can't see the point in doing this.	Yes	It would be great to get better public transport, and to reintroduce the tram would be a great measure. But the tracks would have to be kept straight.	
2	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Everywhere where the tram tracks weave to the kerb.	No	It won't bring in one extra tourist. It won't generate any extra local patronage. Why would we spend all this public money?	No	Buses will always be cheaper and more flexible for route choice. Christchurch does not have the population density to support light rail as a public transport option.	
3	3	No	No	No	No	Armagh Street does require concentration and a little care because of the tracks moving in and out of the cyclist path. Provided care is taken I do not consider this particularly dangerous.	Yes	I personally consider that the tram adds interest in the central city and because of this I favour extension of the tram track network.	Yes	I would like to see the tram being used as an effective public transport, particularly in a similar way as the free shuttle. In other words residents of the city could hop on off the tram at no cost to move around the central city. I do not consider that	
4	3	Yes	No	No	Yes	The thing that bugs me (and probably others) is not crossing the tracks at right angles. That's a nightmare along Armagh near Hagley park as the tracks meander from one side of road to the middle	Don't know	What do they do in Melbourne? Aren't the tracks in the road median all the time?	Yes	I think the trams give Chch real identity and is great to see old trams restored. There must be very small number of cities with such old trams (Maybe Lisbon??). I don't believe cyclists should own the roads (until we run out of oil). But am keen & pass	Thanks for the opportunity - you people are doing a great job (better than CCC)
5	4	No	No	No	No	I haven't had any scary moments on the tram tracks, I'm aware that I need to be careful, and don't find it too difficult to take care when I cross them, which is almost every day.	Yes		Yes	Absolutely	Nice spokes submission. While I don't find the tracks dangerous I know others who have fallen on them. I strongly agree with the preferred use for design idea. I'm all for alternative means of transport to increase peoples health and the vibrancy of the city.

6	2	Yes	No	No	No	Riding out of park down Armagh Street to first "crossing" point, winter day, road dry but may have been overnight rain or condensation on tracks adding to illusion of safety. Obviously approached at too shallow an angle & got wobbles for some distance after travelling at "medium commuter" speed with load on bike. I was shaking afterwards. Recently followed behind a mum with child on carrier seat and reflected on more serious consequences of a such an event with a "wobbly and panicky load". Much as I love trams I am really wary of these lines now and believe boarding points need to be towards the middle of the road which would make crossing points more likely to be perpendicular. Irony is that sign warning of hazard is at a point where many cyclists' eyes will be averted as they concentrate on the road with tracks.	No	Toursit tram network a large expense to attract tourists to Christchurch. Existing loop would have to be made safe for more road users first in my view.	Yes	This would be fine and it would double as a tourist attraction!	Keep up the excellent work that you do.
7	4	No	No	No	No		Yes	Hopefully the Tram network can become viable as a passenger system like the busses. Perhaps we could even put bicycles on the front!	Yes		
8	3	Yes	No	Yes	No	The main concern for me is that motorists won't anticipate evasive maneuvers I have to make to cut across the rails diagonally so that I don't slip or get my wheel wedged in the tracks.	Yes		Yes	I'd rather there were more trams rather than more cars!	
9	2	Yes	No	No	Yes	Corner of Rolleston & Armagh	No		Yes		
10	1	Yes	No	No	No	As a road bike user, I feel very insecure riding over the tram track- not sure how they would feel with wider tyres. Riding over them on an exaggerated angle is all very well, but sometimes this feels as if I am at risk at getting collected by cars. All up, it seems as if the tram extension is an anti-cycle move. A woman who I work with was 7 months pregnant when she fell - her tyres got stuck in the tracks on Armagh. She was very badly bruised and this experience has put her off biking in town altogether.	No	All up, it seems as if the tram extension is an anti-cycle move, a bad idea	No	Possibly but only if there were designated cycle ways on other streets leading to the same destination.	An excellent e-publication. I'm sorry I missed your AGM and that I omitted to submit my apology
11	2	Yes	No	No	No	Slide 20 epitomises the standard of road design in Christchurch.	No	I disagree in principle with councils involving themselves in commercial activities.	No	Trams are simply an archaic form of transport tolerated only for their novelty. What on earth is the necessity for trams to have rails in the 21st century? So far as I can see the rails serve two purposes - they're load bearing and they provide guidance. There are plenty of technological solutions to both of those issues that don't create the hazard of rails.	
12	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		No		No		

